# Eoditing - Alternative Lot Building Configurations \& Parking Requirements 

Article 3 - Residence Districts
10.15.20 - ZAP Committee
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## Part l:

 Alternative Lot / Building Configurations
## Rear Lots (Sec. 3.5.1)

## Goals and Objectives

- Facilitate additional housing opportunity on oversized/deep lots
- Housing that is subordinate to the principal building on the front lot


# Current vs. Proposed 

## - The Current Ordinance allows for

 larger/taller buildings, but requires larger lots (see appendix)|  | Current | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SR1 | R1 |
| Lot Area, Front plus Rear Lot (min.)* | 55,000 sf | 15,600 sf |
| Lot Area, Rear Only (min.)* | 30,000 sf | 7,600 sf |
| Building Height - Sloped Roof (max.) | 36 ft | 18 ft |
| Stories (max.) | 2.5 / 3 SP | 1.5 |
| FAR (max.) | 0.12 | N/A |
| Total Square Feet** | 3,600 sf | 1,800 sf |
| *No minimum lot size required for the Proposed Ordinance. Lot Size calculated for minimum lot size to build a House B (max.) on <br> the Front Lot and a House C (max.) on the Rear Lot <br> **Based on FAR (max) for Current Ordinance and building footprint/\# of stories (max) for Proposed Ordinance |  |  |

# Minimum Lot Depth <br> <br> $\approx 25 \%$ of lots in each district have the <br> <br> $\approx 25 \%$ of lots in each district have the min. depth required to create a Rear Lot 

 min. depth required to create a Rear Lot}

|  | The Real World Quartiles | PROPOSED Ordinance Rules* <br> Minimum Lot Depth (Front \& Rear) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 } \\ \text { (3683 lots) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \text { lots }-141 \mathrm{ft} \\ & 50 \% \text { lots }-166 \mathrm{ft} \\ & 75 \% \text { lots }-208 \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | 195 ft |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { R2 } \\ \text { (12456 lots) } \end{gathered}$ | 25\% lots - 104 ft <br> 50\% lots - 123 ft <br> $75 \%$ lots -150 ft | 159 ft |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { R3 } \\ \text { (5363 lots) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \text { lots }-100 \mathrm{ft} \\ & 50 \% \text { lots }-116 \mathrm{ft} \\ & 75 \% \text { lots }-147 \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | 145 ft |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { R4 } \\ \text { (815 lots) } \end{gathered}$ | $25 \%$ lots -95 ft <br> 50\% lots - 113 ft <br> $75 \%$ lots - 140 ft | 140 ft |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { (483 lots) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \text { lots }-101 \mathrm{ft} \\ & 50 \% \text { lots }-131 \mathrm{ft} \\ & 75 \% \text { lots }-180 \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | 163 ft |

*Lot Depth calculated for minimum lot size to build a House B (max.) on the Front Lot and a House C (max.) on the Rear Lot

## Updates

- Simplify defining the "front" of the Rear Lot



## Updates

- A Rear Lot building can be anywhere within the setbacks

- Development size is significantly reduced in all residential districts
- Allows for more opportunity, but still limited
- Requires a Special Permit


## Questions

- Should a House C, developed as a Rear Lot, be allowed to increase the building footprint by 25\% utilizing Building Components?



## Questions

- If the proposal achieves the City Council intent, and address community concerns, should rear lot development be allowed by-right? If not, are there any circumstances where a rear lot development should be allowed by-right?


## Courtyard Cluster (Sec. 3.5.3)

## Recap - Past Meetings

- Case studies showcased possible development scenarios (ZAP - 3/9 \& 4/13)
- Reduced allowable districts to R4 \& N (ZAP - 8/13)


## Goals and Objectives

- Allow in limited circumstances with requirements to ensure neighborhood compatibility
- Promote a non-subsidized form of housing that is generally less expensive given the reduced unit size



## Possible Lots (>0.75 ac)



## Updates

## - Develop a Courtyard Cluster Building Type

## - 2-units max.



# Updates 

- Require a minimum distance of 15 ft between buildings




## April Proposal

## October Proposal

- 5 Buildings / 10 -units
- 1,200 sf footprint / 2.5 stories
- 10 parking spaces


## Takeaways



## Questions

Does the new proposed Building Type better facilitate development in scale and proportion with the intent and definition of Courtyard Clusters?


## Questions

- Should the parking requirements be reduced since Courtyard Clusters are limited to areas walkable to public transit and village centers?

Part II: Parking Requirements

## Recap - Past Meetings

- Reviewed the intent and purpose of updating Newton's Parking Requirements
(ZAP - 6/15)
- On- street parking should only count for


## non-residential uses (Sec. 3.7.1.A.5)



## Recap - Areas of Consensus

Parking provided beyond the maximum, by Special Permit, should be designed with pervious materials (Sec. 3.7.2)


## Recap



Ground story nonresidential uses with 5,000 square feet or less of gross leasable floor area are exempt from parking requirements (Sec. 3.7.1)

## Movement to Reduce/Eliminate

 Parking Minimums Across North America
$\bigcirc$ Reduced

## Do you agree with the reduction in parking minimums and the proposal to create parking maximums?

| Current - Parking Requirements |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Use Category | Min. | Max. |
| Single-Family | 2.0 / | -- |
|  | D.U. |  |
|  | Two-Family | 2.0 / |
|  | D.U. | - |
| Multi-Family | 2.0 / | - |
|  | D.U. |  |


| Proposed - Parking Requirements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use Category | Min. | Max. |
| Single-Family | $1.0 /$ <br> D.U. | 2.0 / D.U. |
| Two-Family | 1.0 / <br> D.U. | 2.0 / D.U. |
| Multi-Family | 1.0 / <br> D.U. | 2.0 / D.U. |

## Part III: 2020 Calendar

## Remainder of 2020

- Article 3 Content
- Hear from professional working groups
- Discuss policy decisions
- Zoning Redesign Engagement
- Update Garage Ordinance


# ZAP Calendar - Draft 

| Date | Article 3 | Garage Ordinance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 - Oct | - Building Professional Working Groups (garages, driveways, parking) <br> - Draft engagement strategy | - Set items that can be addressed within the current ordinance (rank easy to hard) |
| 5 - Nov | - Building Professional Working Groups (dimensional standards) |  |
| 9 - Nov | - Zoning Redesign and legal nonconformity | - Revised Garage Ordinance as a standalone amendment to the current ordinance |
| 23 - Nov | - Two-units by-right (how and where?) <br> - Multi-Unit Conversion by-right (how and where?) |  |
| 3 - Dec | - Continuation of Nov. 23 meeting <br> - Update on November engagement and December look ahead |  |
| 14 - Dec | - Update of Article 3 (previous meeting topics) and zoning map | - Review revised Garage Ordinance (set public hearing for January) |

## TharkYou



## Appendix

Current Ordinance

|  | Current Ordinance |  |  | Proposed Ordinance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SR1 | SR2 | SR3 | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 | N |
| Lot Area, Front plus Rear Lot (min.)* | 55,000 sf | 33,000 sf | 22,000 sf | 15,600 sf | 9,540 sf | 7,250 sf | 7,000 sf | 6,520 sf |
| Lot Area, Rear Only (min.)* | 30,000 sf | 18,000 sf | 12,000 sf | 7,600 sf | 5,040 sf | 3,500 sf | 3,250 sf | 2,520 sf |
| Building <br> Height - <br> Sloped Roof <br> (max.) | 36 ft | 36 ft | 36 ft | 18 ft | 18 ft | 18 ft | 18 ft | 18 ft |
| Stories (max.) | 2.5 / 3 SP | 2.5 / 3 SP | 2.5 / 3 SP | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| FAR (max.) | 0.12 | 0.2 | 0.24 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Square Feet** | $3,600 \mathrm{sf}$ | 3,600 sf | 2,880 sf | 1,800 sf | 1,800 sf | 1,800 sf | 1,800 sf | 1,800 sf |

[^0]
[^0]:    *No minimum lot size required for the Proposed Ordinance. Lot Size calculated for minimum lot size to build a House B (max.) on the Front Lot and a House C (max.) on the Rear Lot
    **Based on FAR (max) for Current Ordinance and building footprint/\# of stories (max) for Proposed Ordinance

